the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;

Houston v. Moore, 5 Wh., 1; Martin v. Mott, 12 Wh., 19; Luther v. Borden, 7 How., 1.

¹⁷To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings;—And

Hepburn et al. v. Ellzey, 2 Cr., 444; Longhboro' v. Blake, 5 Wh. 317; Cohens v. Virginia, 6 Wh., 264; American Insurance Company v. Canter (356 bales cotton), 1 Pet., 511; Kendall, Postmaster-General, v. The United States, 12 Pet., 524; United States v. Dewitt, 9 Wall., 41; Dunphy v. Kleinsmith et al., 11 Wall., 610; Willard v. Presbury, 14 Wall., 676; Phillips v. Payne, 92 U. S., 130; United States v. Fox, 94 U. S., 315.

¹⁸ To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

McCulloch r. The State of Maryland, 4 Wh., 316; Wayman r. Southard, 10 Wh., 1; Bank of United States r. Halstead, 10 Wh., 51; Hepburn r. Griswold, 8 Wall., 603; National Bank r. Commonwealth, 9 Wall., 353; Thomson r. Pacific Railroad, 9 Wall., 579; Parker r. Davis, 12 Wall., 457; Railroad Company v. Johnson, 15 Wall., 195; Railroad Company r. Peniston, 18 Wall., 5.

Section. 9. ¹The Migration or Importation of such Persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited by the Congress prior to the Year one thousand eight hundred and eight, but a Tax or duty may be imposed on such Importation, not exceeding ten dollars for each Person.

Dred Scott v. Sanford, 19 How., 393.

²The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it.

United States v. Hamilton, 3 Dall., 17; Hepburn et al. v. Ellzey, 2 Cr. 445; Ex parte Bollman and Swartwout, 4 Cr., 75; Ex parte Kearney, 7 Wh., 38; Ex parte Tobias Watkins, 3 Pet., 192; Ex parte Milburn, 9 Pet., 704; Holmes v. Jennison et al., 14 Pet., 540; Ex parte Dorr, 3 How., 103; Luther v. Borden, 7 How., 1; Ableman v. Booth and United States v. Booth, 21 How., 506; Ex parte Vallandigham, 1 Wall., 243; Ex parte Mulligan, 4 Wall., 2; Ex parte McCardle, 7 Wall., 506; Ex parte Yerger, 8 Wall., 85; Tarble's case, 13 Wall., 397; Ex parte Lange, 18 Wall., 163; Ex parte Parks, 93 U. S., 18; Ex parte Karstendick, 93 U. S., 396.

³ No Bill of Attainder or expost facto Law shall be passed.

Fletcher r. Peck, 6 Cr., 87; Ogden r. Saunders, 12 Wh., 213; Watson et al. r. Mercer, 8 Pet., 88; Carpenter et al. r. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 17 How., 456; Locke r. New Orleans, 4 Wall., 172; Cummings r. The State of Missouri, 4 Wall., 277; Ex parte Garland, 4 Wall., 333; Drehman r. Stifle, 8 Wall., 595; Klinger r. State of Missouri, 13 Wall., 257; Pierce r. Carskadon, 16 Wall., 234.

'No Capitation, or other direct, tax shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or Enumeration herein before directed to be taken.

License Tax Cases, 5 Wall., 462.

⁵ No Tax or Duty shall be laid on Articles exported from any State.

Cooley v. Board of Wardens of Port of Philadelphia, 12 How., 299; Page v. Burgess, collector, 92 U. S., 372.

*No Preference shall be given by any Regulation of Commerce or Revenue to the Ports of one State over those of another: nor shall Vessels bound to, or from, one State, be obliged to enter, clear, or pay Duties in another.

Cooley v. Board of Wardens of Port of Philadelphia et al., 12 How., 299; State of Pennsylvania v. Wheeling and Belmont Bridge Company et al., 18 How., 421; Munn v. Illinois, 94 U. S., 113.

⁷No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law; and a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public Money shall be published from time to time.

*No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States: And no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State.

SECTION. 10. 'No State shall enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation; grant

Letters of Marque and Reprisal; coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts; pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility.

²Calder and wife v. Bull and wife, 3 Dall., 386; ³Fletcher v. Peck, 6 Cr., 87; ³State of New Jersey v. Wilson, 7 Cr., 164; ³Sturgis v. Crowningshield, 4 Wh., 122; ³McMillan v. McNeil, 4 Wh., 209; ³Darmouth College v. Woodward, 4 Wh., 518; ³Owings v. Speed, 5 Wh., 420; ³Farmers and Mechanics' Bank v. Smith, 6 Wh., 131; ³Green et al. v. Biddle, 8 Wh., 1; ³Ogden v. Saunders, 12 Wh., 213; ³Mason v. Haile, 12 Wh., 370; ³Satterlee v. Matthewson, 2 Pet., 380; ³Hart v. Lamphire, 3 Pet., 280; ¹Craig et al. v. State of Missouri, 4 Pet., 410; ³Providence Bank v. Billings and Pitman, 4 Pet., 514; ¹Byrne v. State of Missouri, 8 Pet., 40; Watson v. Mercer, 8 Pet., 83; Mumma r. Potomac Company, 8 Pet., 281; Beers v. Haughton, 9 Pet., 329; Briscoe et al. v. The Bank of the Commonwealth of Missouri, 8 Pet., 40; *Watson v. Mercer, 8 Pet., 38; *Mumma v. Potomac Company, 8 Pet., 28; *Peerse. Haughton, 9 Pet., 28; *Pisrsoo et al. v. The Bank of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, 11 Pet., 257; *The Proprietors of Charles River Bridge v. The Proprietors of Warren Bridge, 11 Pet., 220; *Armstrong v. The Treasurer of Athens Company, 16 Pet., 281; *Bronson v. Kinzie et al., 1 How., 311; *McCracken v. Hayward, 2 How., 608; *Gordon v. Appeal Tax Court, 3 How., 133; *State of Maryland, v. Baltimore and Ohio R. R. Co., 3 How., 534; *Neil, Moore & Co. v. State of Ohio, 3 How., 720; *Cook v. Moffatt, 5 How., 295; *Planters' Bank v. Sharp et al., 6 How., 301; *West River Bridge Company v. Dix et al., 6 How., 507; *Crawford et al. v. Branch Bank of Mobile, 7 How., 279; *Woodruff v. Trapnall, 10 How., 190; *Papp et al. v. Drew, 10 How., 218; *Plaltimore and Susquehanna R. R. Co. v. Nesbitt et al., 10 How., 395; *Butler et al. v. Pennsylvania, 10 How., 402; *Darigdon et al. v. The Bank of Alabama, 13 How., 12; *Richmond, &c., R. R. Co. v. The Louise R. R. Co., 13 How., 71; *3Trustees for Vincennes University v. State of Indiana, 14 How., 268; *Curran v. State of Arkansas et al., 15 How., 304; *State Bank of Ohio v. Knoop, 16 How., 369; *Carpenter et al. v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 17 How., 456; *Dodge v. Woolsey, 18 How., 331; *Beers v. State of Arkansas, 20 How., 527; *Aspinwall et al. v. Commissioners of County of Daviess, 22 How., 364; *Rector of Christ Church, Philadelphia, v. County of Philadelphia, 24 How., 300; *Howard v. Bugbee, 24 How., 461; *Jefferson Branch Bank v. Skelley, 1 Black, 436; *Franklin Branch Bank v. State of Ohio, 1 Black, 474; *Trustees of the Wabash and Eric Canal Company v. Beers, 2 Black, 448; *Gilman v. City of Shebogyan, 2 Black, 510; *Bridge Proprietors v. Hobben Company, 1 Wall., 116; *Hawthorne v. Calet, 2 Wall., 210; *Locke v. City of New Orleans, 4 Wall., 127; *Bailroad Company v. The State, 3 Wall., 210; *Locke v. City of Locke v. Hobben Company v. Bothern v. Grisson, 1 Wall., of Kentucky, 11 Pet., 257; The Proprietors of Charles River Bridge r. The Proprietors of

*No State shall, without the Consent of the Congress, lay any Imposts or Duties on Imports or Exports, except what may be absolutely necessary for executing it's inspection Laws: and the net Produce of all Duties and Imposts, laid by any State on Imports or Exports, shall be for the Use of the Treasury of the United States; and all such Laws shall be subject to the Revision and the Controul of the Congress.

McCulloch v. State of Maryland, 4 Wh., 316; Gibbons v. Ogden, 9 Wh., 1; Brown v. The State of Maryland, 12 Wh., 419; Mager v. Grima et al., 8 How., 490; Cooley v. Board of Wardens of Port of Philadelphia et al., 12 How., 299; Almy v. State of California, 24 How., 169; License Tax Cases, 5 Wall., 462; Crandall v. State of Nevada, 6 Wall., 35; Waring v. The Mayor, 8 Wall., 110; Woodruff v. Perham, 8 Wall., 123; Hinson v. Lott, 8 Wall., 148; State Tonnage Tax Cases, 12 Wall., 204; State tax on railway gross receipts, 15 Wall., 284; Inman Steamship Company v. Tinker, 94 U. S., 238.

³ No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, lay any Duty of Tonnage, keep Troops, or Ships of War in time of Peace, enter into any Agreement or Compact with another State, or with a foreign Power, or engage in War, unless actually invaded, or in such imminent Danger as will not admit of delay.

Green v. Biddle, 8 Wh., 1; Poole et al. v. The Lessee of Fleeger et al., 11 Pet., 185; Cooley v. Board of Wardens of Port of Philadelphia et al., 12 How., 299; Peete v. Morgan, 19 Wall., 581; Cannon v. New Orleans, 20 Wall., 577; Inman Steamship Company v. Tinker, 94 U. S., 238.